ASM-02762 - Quality Assurance - Design

Procedure Year: 2023

Overall Project Risk Categorization: Highly Satisfactory

Procedure Status: Approved

Procedure Name: ASM-02762

Procedure Department: CO - El Salvador - San Salvador

Procedure Type: Design

Record Owner: Ryna Avila

Created by: Ryna Avila,12/19/2023, 8:43 AM

Last Modified by: Data Migration,6/28/2024, 2:48 AM

Decision:

QA Summary/Project Board Comments:

RELATED PROJECTS(1)					
NAME	DEPARTMENT	STATUS	ATLAS PROJECT NUMBER	START DATE	END DATE
01001417	CO - El Salvador - San Salvador	On Going		2/6/2024	12/31/2030

RELATED SESPS(1)		
PARENT PROCEDURE	PROCEDURE TYPE	PROCEDURE YEAR
ASM-02785	SESP (Social and Environmental Screening Procedure)	2023

Approval History

Approval Date: **Approved By:**

APPROVAL HISTORY			
STEP NAME	DATE	STATUS	ASSIGNED TO
Submitted for Approval	2023-12-20 13:36:52	Approved	Rafael Pleitez
Approval Request Submitted	2023-12-20 13:24:00	Started	Ryna Avila

QA Questionnaire:

Strategic Status: Complete Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- (●) 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.
- ( ) 2: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.
- ( ) 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

*Note: Projects not contributing to a programme must have a project-specific Theory of Change. See alternative question under the information icon for these cases.
*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El proyecto esta claramente identificado en su teoria de cambio que establece un impacto de eliminacion total de HCFC en El Salvador para el 2030

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- (●) 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan and adapts at least one Signature Solution. The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- ( ) 2: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- ( ) 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

RESULTADO DEL CPD 2022-2025: No 3. Creación de resiliencia.
Producto 3.1: Las instituciones nacionales seleccionadas tienen mejores capacidades para preparar planes sectoriales para la expansión e implementación de las Contribuciones Nacionales Determinadas

PRIORIDAD NACIONAL: Gestión integral del riesgo de desastres, gestión ambiental y adaptación al cambio climático y mitigación de gases de efecto invernadero
MARCO DE COOPERACIÓN QUE INVOLUCRA AL PNUD #3: Para 2026, las instituciones y la población de El Salvador están más preparadas y resilientes a los desastres, gestionan los riesgos de manera efectiva, se adaptan mejor y mitigan los efectos del cambio climático.

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNSDCF/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- (●) YES
- ( ) NO

*Note: Project QA cannot be approved by Project QA Approver when the response is “No”.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

En la caratula del ProDoc se han incorporado los efectos que contribuye al Plan Estrategico

Relevant Status: Complete Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

4. Does the project identify target groups , and particularly those marginalized, vulnerable, and left further behind (LNOB)?

- 3: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing those left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.
- (●) 2: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing those left furthest behind.
- 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1. Projects that build institutional capacity should still identify target groups to justify support.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El Prodoc contiene un Plan de genero y un Diagn{ostico Social y Ambiental (SESP). En resumen, se pide garantizar la participación equitativa de mujeres y hombres en los talleres de consulta de inicio.
Identificar los roles de las mujeres .en cuanto a la participación de capacitaciones para la reducción de Sustancias Agotadoras del Ozono (SAO) y documentar lecciones aprendidas para mejorar la caractarizacion de los grupos vulnerables que permitan no dejar a nadie atrass

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- (●) 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.
- 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.
- 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Dado que este proyecto es una segunda fase de una propuesta global para la Gestión para la Eliminación de los HCFC (HPMP), se retoman las lecciones aprendidas de la primera fase (que duro 10 años). Ver evidencia en la carpeta de "Lecciones aprendidas"

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- (●) 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.
- 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El calendario de reducción de los HCFC hasta su eliminación se estableció para los países en el artículo 5 del Protocolo de Montreal, del cual El Salvador forma parte, a partir del año 2013 hasta el 2030.

Para el país se desarrolla en dos etapas:

Etapa I, comprendida del 2013 al 2020, con una reducción del 35%;
Etapa II, del 2021 al 2030 para completar el 65%.

El Comité Ejecutivo del Fondo Multilateral para la Implementación del Protocolo de Montreal (ExCom) aprobó designar dos agencias de implementación de la ONU en apoyo al MARN:
Programa de las Naciones Unidas para el Desarrollo (PNUD)
Programa de las Naciones Unidas para el Medio Ambiente (PNUMA).

Ver evidencia en la carpeta de LPAC.

Principled Status: Complete Quality Rating: Satisfactory

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

○ 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)

◉ 2: The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)

○ 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

En el ProDoc, en el DIAGNÓSTICO SOCIAL Y AMBIENTAL, Anexo 3 se describe como se incorpora el enfoque de derechos humanos:

La etapa II del HPMP facilitará la reducción hasta su eliminación de las Sustancias Agotadoras de la capa de Ozono (SAO) identificadas por los hidroclorofluorocarbonos (HCFC) que generan impacto indirecto en la salud humana, la eliminación de estas sustancias, implican el uso de nuevas alternativas y tecnologías que no afectan a la capa de ozono y cuentan con reducido impacto al clima, procurando por tanto mitigar los efectos adversos que impactan en el desarrollo de las poblaciones que son vulnerables al cambio climático. La etapa II también incluye el fortalecimiento de capacidades de los usuarios de SAO con nuevas tecnologías que se utilizan en el sector la refrigeración y aire acondicionado, procurando el óptimo desarrollo de la cadena de frío en alimentos y vacunas que son parte de toda sociedad para facilitar condiciones de vida digna.

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

○ 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework

include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)

(•) 2: A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)

( ) 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

En el ProDoc, en el anexo 4, quedo establecido el Plan de Genero del proyecto, el cual fomentará la participación de mujeres y hombres en los procesos de adopción de decisiones. Se tendrá en cuenta el equilibrio de género en las estructuras de gestión de proyectos y en las acciones de creación de capacidad (capacitaciones, talleres). El MARN cuenta con una unidad de género, un grupo de trabajo y una política lo que contribuirá al seguimiento del plan de género del proyecto.

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

( ) 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)

(•) 2: The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)

( ) 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

En el ProDoc, en el DIAGNÓSTICO SOCIAL Y AMBIENTAL, Anexo 3, se establece como se promociona la sostenibilidad ambiental:

El fin de la implementación de la etapa II del HPMP se enfoca en el componente ambiental, al reducir el consumo de sustancias nocivas al ambiente y la inserción de sustancias alternas con cero impacto a la capa de ozono y reducido impacto al clima.

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of the preparation and dissemination of reports and communication materials; organization of events, workshops, or training; strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences; partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks; and global/regional projects with no country-level activities as well as Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects. [If yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, Select all exemption criteria that apply.]

(•) Yes

( ) No

( ) SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)

***Applicable only to option "SESP not required"**

- [ ] 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
- [ ] 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
- [ ] 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
- [ ] 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
- [ ] 5: Global/regional projects with no country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
- [ ] 6: UNDP acting as Administrative Agent
- [ ] 7: Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects

*Note: Project QA cannot be approved by Project QA Approver when the response is “No”.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El diagnostico social y ambiental (SESP) ha sido conducido y guiado junto al Centro Regional, desde el area de Protocolo de Montreal. Se encuentra en el Anexo 3 del ProDoc

Management & Monitoring Status: Complete Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- ( ) 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)
- (•) 2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- ( ) 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

La estrategia global adaptada a El Salvador para el desarrollo de la Etapa II del Plan de Gestión para la Eliminación de los HCFC, se basa en cinco resultados que se complementan entre sí para cumplir con el 100% de la reducción de la línea de base para 2030.

Mejorar el control del comercio de SAO y equipos basados en SAO (PNUMA)
Reducción del consumo de HCFC mediante buenas prácticas en refrigeración (BPR) incluye R&R y Flushing (lavado) (PNUD)
Instalación de un centro de reciclaje e integración de una red de recuperación y reciclaje (R&R) (PNUD)
Desarrollo de un programa de certificación de técnicos del sector RAC (PNUD)
Concienciación y educación del público (PNUD)

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- (•) 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)

2: The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)

1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El mecanismo de gobernanza esta claramente definido en el apartado VIII, Arreglos de Gestion y Gobernanza. En el Anexo 6, se establecen los Terminos de referencia de la Junta de Proyecto.

Socio implementador: El socio implementador para este proyecto es Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales.
Representantes de los Beneficiarios
- Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores
- Agencia de El Salvador para la Cooperación (ESCO)

13. Have the project risks been identified using the risk assessment tools (Project Quality Assurance, Social and Environmental Screening Procedure, Partner Capacity Assessment Tool, Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfer, Private Sector Due Diligence, etc., if applicable), with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk register, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)

2: Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk register based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.

1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk register, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

En el anexo 3, en el diagnostico social y ambiental se delimita un riesgo validado en el LPAC: Riesgo 1: Liberación al ambiente de hidroclorofluorocarbonos (HCFC) y manipulación de sustancias alternas que pueden ser inflamables o con moderado impacto al clima.

Efficient Status: Complete Quality Rating: Satisfactory

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design?

Yes
No

*Note: Risk management must be done when the response is “No”.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Se trabajara con la politica de adquisiciones y contrataciones de PNUD a solicitud del MARN o se aplicara la Ley de Compras Publicas en los procesos realizados por el MARN.

Eficiencia y Efectividad de los Costos
La estrategia del proyecto considera la actualización y mejora de los laboratorios de los centros de formación para técnicos y de los Centros de Recuperación y Reciclado, también se incluye el desarrollo de diversos materiales educativos y para divulgación (manuales, trípticos, etc.), además de realizar talleres de capacitación a técnicos y de sensibilización. Para cumplir con cada una de estas actividades, será necesario considerar la adquisición de diversas herramientas, equipos y materiales; además se requerirá de diversos servicios para la elaboración de los distintos materiales educativos y de divulgación. La Etapa II del HPMP para El Salvador ha estimado un costo-efectividad de US$ 5 por kilogramo de HCFC eliminado.
Considerando lo anterior, el PNUD deberá realizar la adquisición de bienes y servicios conforme la normativa aplicable vigente, esto permitirá que los procesos de licitación sean más competitivos, asegurando que se adquiera el mejor producto o servicio al costo más accesible y con ello cumpla con todas las especificaciones requeridas por parte del Gobierno El Salvador

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- ○ 3: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.
- ◉ 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- ○ 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El ProDoc tiene un Plan de Trabajo Plurianual diseñado con las tendencias del mercado y asesoradas por el Centro Regional desde la oficina vinculada a los costos de proyectos del Protocolo de Montreal

16. Is the Country Office / Regional / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- ○ 3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)
- ◉ 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.
- ○ 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1. The budget must be revised to fully reflect the costs of implementation before the project commences.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El presupuesto del proyecto contempla un valor de cobro por servicios estipulado en el DPC.

Effective Status: Complete Quality Rating: Satisfactory

17. Have target groups, and particularly those marginalized, vulnerable, and left further behind (LNOB), been engaged in the design of the project?

- ( ) 3: Credible evidence that all target groups, prioritising discriminated, vulnerable, and marginalized segments of the population that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage, and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- (•) 2: Some evidence that key target groups have been consulted in the design of the project.
- ( ) 1: No evidence of engagement with target groups during project design.
- ( ) Not Applicable

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Las lecciones aprendidas de la fase I del proyecto permitiron conocer las necesidades de los beneficiarios de este proyecto, que es un sector tecnico

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

*Note: Risk Management must be done when the response is "No".

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

En el Prodoc se ha establecido el analisis de riesgos y sus medidas de mitigacion en el Anexo 5, esto y las revisiones periodicas daran la pauta para generar medidas adaptativas

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

*Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El desarrollo e implementación de proyectos similares al HPMP en El Salvador, ha contado con la participación de mujeres. Los programas que comprenderán la Etapa II del HPMP para El Salvador considerarán en su implementación el apoyo a la contratación de consultoras, supervisoras, capacitadoras y diseñadoras para desarrollar las actividades de cada uno de los programas. Particularmente para los programas que involucran talleres y sesiones de capacitación para el sector de servicios de RAC, se desarrollarán esfuerzos para tener más /capacitadoras y técnicas para capacitar.
Conforme con lo dispuesto en la decisión 87/33 d), el Gobierno de El Salvador apoyará la contratación de consultoras, supervisoras, capacitadoras y diseñadoras en cada una de las actividades previstas para la etapa II del HPMP. Asimismo, se desplegarán esfuerzos para aumentar el número de capacitadoras y técnicas, especialmente en los talleres y sesiones de capacitación técnica para el sector de servicios RAC.

20. Have societal digital risks and opportunities been taken into account when designing the project's approach and have digital or data technology solutions been considered to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness and scalability of project results?

- ○ 3: To the extent possible, societal digital risks and opportunities have been investigated when designing the strategy and Theory of Change, and the potential use of digital or data technologies in project activities has been considered in line with UNDP's digital standards and data principles. (All must be true)
- ◉ 2: Only the potential use of digital or data solutions in project activities has been considered in line with UNDP's digital standards and data principles, but there is no or limited evidence that aspects of inclusive digital societies have been considered in the design of the strategy or Theory of Change.
- ○ 1: Neither societal digital risks and opportunities, nor digital or data technology solutions were specifically considered in the project design or, UNDP's digital standards and data principles are not taken into account when intending to use digital or data technology solutions in project activities.
- ○ Digital considerations are not relevant to this project.

***Applicable only to option "Digital considerations are not relevant"**

- ☐ 1: Societal digital transformation is not a government or contextual priority
- ☐ 2: A non-digital approach yields higher effectiveness and efficiency
- ☐ 3: Other (specify in the "Evidence" section)

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Soluciones Digitales
Dentro de las acciones formativas para el fortalecimiento de capacidades locales, la estrategia de utilizar plataformas digitales de comunicación, facilita la participación de grupos meta en horarios flexibles para su asistencia, la grabación de la formación digital es una oportunidad para el participante de retroalimentar los conocimientos adquiridos, así como de permitir a los que no pudieron asistir, de poder recibir la capacitación en diferido, logrando la meta de capacitar a un mayor número de usuarios de sustancias agotadoras de la capa de ozono (SAO). Esto fue clave dentro de las medidas de aislamiento social generadas por la pandemia COVID19. Una vez que se han flexibilizado las medidas de aislamiento, se planea utilizar medios de comunicación digital para suministrar el contenido teórico y para la realización de prácticas, realizarlas de forma presencial, en su defecto al existir medidas de restricción a la movilidad, las prácticas se pueden transmitir en plataformas streaming. Para las convocatorias, el uso de plataformas de mensajería de texto es una opción rápida de acceder a los usuarios de SAO y obtener su respuesta de confirmación de participar en actividades formativas de refrigerantes alternos.

Evidencia en el Prodoc, pagina 19

Sustainability & National Ownership Status: Complete Quality Rating: Exemplary

21. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the country / regional / global project, respectively?

- ◉ 3: National / regional / global partners have full ownership of the country / regional / global project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
- ○ 2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.
- ○ 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Es una estrategia global adaptada a El Salvador para el desarrollo de la Etapa II del Plan de Gestión para la Eliminación de los HCFC

El calendario de reducción de los HCFC hasta su eliminación se estableció para los países en el artículo 5 del Protocolo de Montreal, del cual El Salvador forma parte, a partir del año 2013 hasta el 2030.
Para el país se desarrolla en dos etapas:

Etapa I, comprendida del 2013 al 2020, con una reducción del 35%;
Etapa II, del 2021 al 2030 para completar el 65%.

22. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- (●) 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.
- ( ) 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.
- ( ) 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out
- ( ) Not Applicable

*Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Los resultados e informes sobre el proyecto Etapa II del HPMP para El Salvador serán enviados periódicamente al Comité Ejecutivo como requisito.
La estrategia para la implementación del proyecto propone el desarrollo de folletos informativos dirigidos a técnicos, campañas de sensibilización para distintos sectores (gobierno, industria y usuarios finales). Estos materiales serán producidos y distribuidos, adicionalmente se desarrollarán manuales de capacitación para técnicos.
Finalmente, el MARN actualizará anualmente los datos de registros de importación al país de SAO y sus alternativas. La información de dichos registros se coteja con fuentes de datos de autoridades aduaneras y datos recopilados directamente de empresas / industrias. Los datos recopilados forman parte de los informes de país remitidos a la secretaría del FML, en cumplimiento del artículo 7 del Protocolo de Montreal. También se pretende actualizar el registro de técnicos capacitados, usuarios y equipos que contengan HCFC.

23. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) Not Applicable

*Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

El proyecto incluye el fortalecimiento de los sistemas y capacidades nacionales para el monitoreo de las importaciones y exportaciones de sustancias que agotan la capa de ozono, a través del desarrollo y actualización de la base de datos y de propuestas de mejora del marco regulatorio relacionado con las actividades de importación/exportación y comercialización de estas sustancias.
El desarrollo de esta base de datos puede ser empleada por el mismo Gobierno para la gestión de otros productos químicos regulados por el Protocolo de Montreal, tales como los HFC, y otros productos químicos industriales peligrosos. La eliminación oportuna de los HCFC garantiza el cumplimiento de los objetivos establecidos adquiridos por parte del Gobierno de El Salvador como miembro Parte del Protocolo de Montreal. Considerando

que estas actividades son complementarias a las actividades implementadas para la Etapa I del HPMP para El Salvador

24. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

*Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Las actividades que conforman la estrategia para la Etapa II del HPMP para El Salvador reducirá gradualmente el consumo nacional de HCFC en 2030. Promover el uso de refrigerantes alternativos con cero o muy bajo PCG es un componente importante de la estrategia. El sector industrial es uno de los principales actores para el uso alternativo y la reducción de las importaciones de HCFC. Otro componente importante es fomentar la recuperación y el reciclaje de gases refrigerantes entre los técnicos de RAC. Finalmente, las campañas de difusión reforzarán el uso de alternativas. Estas actividades también contribuirán a reducir el riesgo de uso ilícito de HCFC en el futuro.